Al Qaeda Building Atomic Bombs in Lebanon: Joint Chiefs Say U.S. Nuclear Option Offers Dramatically Increased Chances of Destroying Nuke Lab

**Chiefs Conclude**

**Nuclear Option Has**

90% Chance of Success,

**Conventional Only 45%**

The Associated Press

A report from General Martin Dempsey, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to the President concludes that nuclear weapons would be “dramatically more effective” than conventional strikes in destroying an Al Qaeda nuclear weapons facility in Lebanon.

The suspects in the smuggling operation were employed at a Russian nuclear lab. The smugglers confirmed under questioning that other shipments of centrifuges and low-enriched uranium had already been delivered to the Al Qaeda base, where the centrifuges are being used to make fuel for a nuclear bomb. The smugglers stated that there will be enough bomb grade material produced for at least one weapon within two weeks. Lebanon has refused to allow international inspectors access to the facility.

“Nuclear weapons would be far more effective against this deeply buried target.”

The Joint Chiefs’ report to the President does not recommend a specific course of action. However, it concludes that “because the Al Qaeda facility is comprised of a series of deeply buried bunkers, nuclear weapons would be far more effective for destroying this target.”

The report was leaked to the Associated Press by a high-ranking administration official involved in planning the strike. According to the official, the centrifuges and nuclear materials are too large to be moved without detection. A US intelligence official stated that he has high confidence that Al Qaeda is within two weeks of producing an operational bomb. After that, the official said, “all bets are off.”

According to Dr. David Wright, a nuclear weapons expert at the Union of Concerned Scientists, an independent think-tank based in Washington, D.C., “If a bomb of this size exploded in New York City, it could easily kill 50,000 to 70,000 people.”

The report states that the remote location of the Al Qaeda facility should limit Lebanese civilian fatalities. Because many conventional weapons would be required to destroy the Al Qaeda base, the Joint Chiefs estimate that “the nuclear and conventional options would kill approximately the same number of Lebanese civilians” – about 1,000, including immediate deaths and long term consequences of the conventional or nuclear strike. As both options will rely on cruise missiles launched from U.S. naval vessels, the report concludes that “no U.S. military personnel are at risk in either operation.”